

Reilly, Stephen

From: Kisha Locke <KLocke@sa01.org>
Sent: Thursday, September 17, 2015 2:29 PM
To: Reilly, Stephen
Subject: Re: Public Records Request: Brady/Giglio/potential impeachment disclosure list
Attachments: 2514_0001.pdf; 2515_0001.pdf

Attached are two separate lists of officer involved shootings for Escambia and Okaloosa Counties.

The following information is for Santa Rosa County and Walton Counties:

SANTA ROSA COUNTY

4/9/15 Subject: Gordon Kimbrell

Officers involved: Matthew Ray and Brian Miller

No charges filed.

3/8/13 Subject: Jeffrey Wright

Officers involved: Nathan Hall, Jerry Nash and Brian Miller

No charges filed.

WALTON COUNTY:

None

If you need any further information, please let me know.

Kisha Locke

Executive Secretary to

William "Bill" Eddins, State Attorney

First Judicial Circuit of Florida

P. O. Box 12726

Pensacola, FL 32591

Tel. 850.595.4761

Fax 850.595.4763

klocke@sa01.org

>>> "Reilly, Stephen" <sreilly@usatoday.com> 9/17/2015 10:03 AM >>>

To whom it may concern:

This is a request for records pursuant to the Florida Public Records Law, Fla. Stat. sec. 119.01 et. seq. I am requesting a copy of the following records:

- The "Brady list," "Giglio list," "potential impeachment disclosure list," or any other record(s) maintained by the State Attorney's Office for the First Judicial Circuit setting forth information on all peace officers in your office's jurisdiction whose involvement in a criminal proceeding must be disclosed as potentially exculpatory evidence in accordance with *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963) and *Giglio v. United States*, 450 U.S. 150 (1972). Where possible, please include all information on these officers as reflected in your list, including: the officer's full name, agency of employment, date of inclusion on the list, and description of the reason for inclusion on the list.

If possible, please provide the responsive records in an electronic format via email to sreilly@usatoday.com.

If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me before filling this request. If you deny any or all of this request, please cite each exemption you feel justifies the denial and notify me of the appeal procedures available to me under the law.

As you are aware, the only delay permitted in the release of requested records is limited to a reasonable time to allow the custodian of the records to retrieve the records and delete those portions exempt from disclosure. *Tribune Co. v. Cannella*, 458 So. 2d 1075 (Fla. 1984), appeal dismissed, 471 U.S. 1096 (1985); *Michael v. Douglas*, 464 So. 2d 545 (Fla. 1985) (24 hour delay held to violate Chapter 119); see also Op. Att’y Gen. Fla. 81-12 (1981) (city may not require an examinee to exercise his right to inspect his own examination during a designated or restricted time frame); cf. *Roberts v. News-Press Publ’g Co.*, 409 So. 2d 1089 (Fla. 2d DCA 1982) (rule allowing employee whose record is requested 24-hour notice and the right to be present at inspection is reasonable).

Please do not hesitate to contact me by email or on my cell phone (610-547-0001) at any time if you would like to discuss the request or have any questions.

Sincerely,

Steve Reilly

Steve Reilly

Investigative Reporter and Data Specialist

USA TODAY Network National News Desk

O: 703.854.3104 | C: 610.547.0001

sreilly@usatoday.com | [@BySteveReilly](https://twitter.com/BySteveReilly)

7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, VA 22108